

Appendix 15.8

Collision Risk Model

Coolglass Wind Farm EIAR Volume 3

Coolglass Wind Farm Limited

SLR Project No.: 501.V00727.00006

27 June 2023



COOLGLASS WIND FARM

Avian Collision Risk Assessment Coolglass Wind Farm Ltd

SLR Ref: 501.V00727.00006 Version No: 2 May 2023



| Document Control | |
|---------------------|---|
| Document Properties | |
| Organisation | SLR Consulting Ireland |
| Project Name | Coolglass Wind Farm |
| Report Title | Avian Collision Risk Assessment |
| Author(s) | Michael Austin |
| Draft version/final | Final |
| Document reference | 23.02.23 Coolglass Collision Risk Assessment_v2_Issue01 |

| DATE | Revision No | Prepared by | Reviewed by | Approved by | Status | Comments |
|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|---|
| 28/02/23 | 1 | Michael Austen | Dr Jonathon Dunn | | Draft | |
| 02/05/2023 | 2 | Michael Austin | Dr Jonathon Dunn | Richard Arnold | lssue01 | Updated with two candidate turbine model info |

BASIS OF REPORT

This document has been prepared by SLR with reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the manpower, timescales and resources devoted to it by agreement withCoolglass Wind Farm Ltd (the Client) as part or all of the services it has been appointed by the Client to carry out. It is subject to the terms and conditions of that appointment.

SLR shall not be liable for the use of or reliance on any information, advice, recommendations and opinions in this document for any purpose by any person other than the Client. Reliance may be granted to a third party only in the event that SLR and the third party have executed a reliance agreement or collateral warranty.

Information reported herein may be based on the interpretation of public domain data collected by SLR, and/or information supplied by the Client and/or its other advisors and associates. These data have been accepted in good faith as being accurate and valid.

The copyright and intellectual property in all drawings, reports, specifications, bills of quantities, calculations and other information set out in this report remain vested in SLR unless the terms of appointment state otherwise.

This document may contain information of a specialised and/or highly technical nature and the Client is advised to seek clarification on any elements which may be unclear to it.

Information, advice, recommendations and opinions in this document should only be relied upon in the context of the whole document and any documents referenced explicitly herein and should then only be used within the context of the appointment.

CONTENTS

| 1.1Primary Target Species.32.0METHODS42.1Prediction of Rotor Transits from Vantage Point Survey Data42.1.1Survey Data 2017 to 2018.42.1.2Survey Data 2020 to 2022.42.1.3Viewshed Data52.1.4Flight Selection for CRM.72.1.5Correcting Survey PCH to Actual PCH.72.1.6Seasonal Definitions82.1.7Undertaking CRM.82.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates.92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 1.0 | INTRODUCTION |
|---|-------|---|
| 2.0METHODS | 1.1 | Primary Target Species |
| 2.1Prediction of Rotor Transits from Vantage Point Survey Data42.1.1Survey Data 2017 to 2018 | 2.0 | METHODS4 |
| 2.1.1 Survey Data 2017 to 2018 | 2.1 | Prediction of Rotor Transits from Vantage Point Survey Data 4 |
| 2.1.2Survey Data 2020 to 2022.42.1.3Viewshed Data52.1.4Flight Selection for CRM.72.1.5Correcting Survey PCH to Actual PCH.72.1.6Seasonal Definitions82.1.7Undertaking CRM82.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster122.2.2South Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.1.1 | Survey Data 2017 to 2018 |
| 2.1.3Viewshed Data52.1.4Flight Selection for CRM72.1.5Correcting Survey PCH to Actual PCH72.1.6Seasonal Definitions82.1.7Undertaking CRM82.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster122.2.2South Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.1.2 | Survey Data 2020 to 2022 |
| 2.1.4Flight Selection for CRM | 2.1.3 | Viewshed Data5 |
| 2.1.5Correcting Survey PCH to Actual PCH.72.1.6Seasonal Definitions82.1.7Undertaking CRM82.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster122.2.2South Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.1.4 | Flight Selection for CRM |
| 2.1.6Seasonal Definitions82.1.7Undertaking CRM82.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster122.2.2South Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.1.5 | Correcting Survey PCH to Actual PCH7 |
| 2.1.7Undertaking CRM82.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster122.2.2South Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.1.6 | Seasonal Definitions |
| 2.1.8Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates92.1.9Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters102.2Coolglass Flightline Data112.2.1North Cluster122.2.2South Cluster173.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.1.7 | Undertaking CRM |
| 2.1.9 Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters 10 2.2 Coolglass Flightline Data 11 2.2.1 North Cluster 12 2.2.2 South Cluster 17 3.0 COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS 22 3.1 Species Summary 26 | 2.1.8 | Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates |
| 2.2 Coolglass Flightline Data 11 2.2.1 North Cluster 12 2.2.2 South Cluster 17 3.0 COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS 22 3.1 Species Summary 26 | 2.1.9 | Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters |
| 2.2.1 North Cluster 12 2.2.2 South Cluster 17 3.0 COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS 22 3.1 Species Summary 26 | 2.2 | Coolglass Flightline Data |
| 2.2.2 South Cluster 17 3.0 COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS 22 3.1 Species Summary 26 | 2.2.1 | North Cluster |
| 3.0COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS223.1Species Summary26 | 2.2.2 | South Cluster |
| 3.1 Species Summary | 3.0 | COLLISION RISK MODELLING RESULTS |
| | 3.1 | Species Summary |

DOCUMENT REFERENCES

TABLES

| Table 2-1 VP Surveys undertaken at Coolglass, Sept 2017 – Mar 2018 | 4 |
|--|----|
| Table 2-2 VP Surveys undertaken at Coolglass, Apr 2021 – Aug 2022 | 5 |
| Table 2-3 Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - North Cluster (SG155) | 5 |
| Table 2-4 Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - North Cluster (V162) | 6 |
| Table 2-5 Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - South Cluster (SG155) | 6 |
| Table 2-6 Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - South Cluster (V162) | 7 |
| Table 2-7 Bird biometrics and avoidance rates used in CRM | 9 |
| Table 2-8 Wind farm & turbine parameters – North Cluster (SG155) | LO |
| Table 2-9 Wind farm & turbine parameters – North Cluster (V162) | LO |
| Table 2-10 Wind farm & turbine parameters – South Cluster (SG155) | 11 |

| Table 2-11 Wind farm & turbine parameters – South Cluster (V162) | 11 |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Table 2-12 Number of target species flights and individuals observed passing through the CoolglaCluster WP during VP surveys (2017/18 and 2021/ 2022) | ass North 12 |
| Table 2-13 Details of Common Kestrel Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster | Turbines 14 |
| Table 2-14 Details of Peregrine Falcon Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster | Turbines 14 |
| Table 2-15 Details of European Golden Plover Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster | Turbines 15 |
| Table 2-16 Details of Northern Lapwing Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster | Turbines 16 |
| Table 2-17 Details of Common Snipe Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbing | es16 |
| Table 2-18 Details of Woodcock Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines | 17 |
| Table 2-19 Number of target species flights and individuals observed passing through the CoolglaCluster WP during VP surveys (2017/18 and 2021/ 2022) | ass South 17 |
| Table 2-20 Details of Common Kestrel Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster | Turbines 19 |
| Table 2-21 Details of Peregrine Falcon Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster | Turbines 20 |
| Table 2-22 Details of European Golden plover Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster | [.] Turbines 20 |
| Table 2-23 Details of Northern Lapwing Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster | Turbines 21 |
| Table 2-24 Details of Common Snipe Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbing | es21 |
| Table 2-26 Details of Woodcock Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines | 21 |
| Table 3-1 Summary of CRM Output (SG155) | 22 |
| Table 3-2 Summary of CRM Output (V162) | 24 |
| Table 3-3 Summary of CRM Output (Annual Rates) | 27 |

APPENDICES

Appendix 01: CRM Probability Calculations Appendix 02: CRM Calculations

1.0 Introduction

This report presents the results of Collision Risk Modelling (CRM) undertaken for five bird species to inform an assessment of potential ornithological impacts relating to the proposed Coolglass Wind Farm, which has a layout comprising a North Cluster of seven turbines, and a South Cluster of six turbines.

As requested by Coolglass Wind Farm Ltd, modelling was based on the use of two turbine options:

- Siemens Gamesa 155 6.6 MW, each with a rotor diameter of 155m, tip height of 180 m and hub height of 102.5 m.
- Vestas V162-7.2 MW turbines, each with a rotor diameter of 162m, tip height of 180m and hub height of 99 m.

The CRM was undertaken in accordance with current NatureScot (NS) (formerly Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)) guidance, which is recognised as standard best practice guidance through the UK and Ireland to inform impact assessment for onshore wind farms. Further details regarding the methodology used, including details of assumptions used and any corrections applied, are provided in Section 2. The monitoring results are presented in Section 3 and copies of the modelling calculations for each species modelled are included in Appendices 01-02.

1.1 Primary Target Species

Target species for the surveys were defined by legal and/ or conservation status and vulnerability to impacts caused by wind turbines, as defined in NS Guidance (SNH 2017¹).

There are no nearby Special Protection Areas (SPAs) which are potentially within the core foraging range of any qualifying features which may occur on the Site (e.g., as defined by SNH 2016²). Therefore, bird species of high conservation importance in this case are those which are Annex I species and other species of high conservation importance which are considered to be vulnerable to impacts from wind farm developments. The following species are therefore considered relevant as primary target species:

- Annex I raptor and owl species;
- Qualifying interest species for nearby SPAs; and
- Other raptors, waders or wildfowl red-listed on the latest Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland (BoCCI) scheme³.

³ Gilbert, G., Stanbury, A. and Lewis, L. (2021). Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland 2020–2026. Irish Birds 43: 1–22



¹ Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) (2017). *Recommended Bird Survey Methods to Inform Impact Assessment of Onshore Wind Farms. Version 2.*

² Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) (2016). *Assessing Connectivity with Special Protection Areas (SPAs). Version 3 – June 2016*. Scottish Natural Heritage, Inverness.

2.0 Methods

The standard Band CRM (Band *et. al.* 2007⁴) was used to estimate collision risk based on recorded target species activity levels and flight behaviour, proposed turbine numbers and specifications, and the relevant species biometrics and flight characteristics. Modelling collision risk under the Band CRM is a two-stage process. Stage 1 estimates the number of birds that fly through the rotor swept area. Stage 2 predicts the proportion of these birds that have the potential to be hit by a rotor blade. Combining both stages produces an estimate of collision mortality in the absence of any avoidance action/behaviour by birds. Avoidance rates are then applied to generate predicted rates of collision mortality.

2.1 Prediction of Rotor Transits from Vantage Point Survey Data

2.1.1 Survey Data 2017 to 2018

The number of birds that fly through the rotor swept area was estimated using flight data gathered during baseline surveys carried out during September 2017 to March 2018. These data were collected by Fehily Timoney and Company and were provided to SLR in raw format only.

| VP Number | WF Cluster | ITM Coordinates (x,y) | Hours of Survey Completed (hrs:mins) |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | North | 654394, 690098 | 51:00 |
| 2 | North | 656489, 687433 | 43:04 |
| 3 | North | 654863, 687925 | 40:00 |
| 4 | South | 657247, 685790 | 32:30 |
| 5 | South | 658446, 683332 | 30:00 |
| 7 | South | 655853, 683304 | 36:00 |

Table 2-1 VP Surveys undertaken at Coolglass, Sept 2017 – Mar 2018

2.1.2 Survey Data 2020 to 2022

The number of birds that fly through the rotor swept area was estimated using flight data gathered during baseline surveys carried out during May 2020 to March 2022, which equates to two breeding seasons and two non-breeding seasons. These data were collected by SLR.

The surveys gathered data from two vantage points (VPs). The total number of hours are as shown in **Table 2-2**.

⁴ Band, W., Madders, M. and Whitfield, D.P. (2007) Developing Field and Analytical Methods to Assess Avian Collision Risk at Wind Farms. In: De Lucas, M., Janss, G. and Ferrer, M., Eds., Birds and Wind Power, Quercus Editions, Madrid, 259-275.



| VP | WF | ITM Coordinates | Hours of Survey Completed (hrs:mins) | | eted (hrs:mins) | |
|--------|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Number | Cluster | (x,y) | Apr 2021- Aug 2021 | Sep 2021- Mar 2022 | Apr 2022- Aug 2022 | Total |
| 1 | North | 654394 <i>,</i> 690098 | 30:00 | 36:00 | 42:00 | 108:00 |
| 2 | North | 656489 <i>,</i> 687433 | 30:00 | 35:00 | 42:00 | 107:00 |
| 3 | North | 654863 <i>,</i> 687925 | 30:00 | 36:00 | 42:00 | 108:00 |
| 4 | South | 657247, 685790 | 30:00 | 36:00 | 42:00 | 108:00 |
| 5 | South | 658446 <i>,</i> 683332 | 30:00 | 42:00 | 00:00 | 72:00 |
| 7 | South | 655853 <i>,</i> 683304 | 30:00 | 39:00 | 36:00 | 105:00 |

Table 2-2VP Surveys undertaken at Coolglass, Apr 2021 – Aug 2022

2.1.3 Viewshed Data

Viewshed data, i.e., the area visible from each VP within each wind farm polygon (WP)⁵, are summarised in **Table 2-3** and **Table 2-5**. Separate analyses were undertaken for each turbine model as follows.

North Cluster

Siemens Gamesa 155 Turbine

Using a surface offset of 25.0m, the combined viewshed area (minus overlap) from VP1, VP2 & VP3 (3,948,319m²) represents 77.6% of the survey WP (i.e., turbines buffered by 577.5m) (5,087,989m²). Viewshed data are presented in **Table 2-3**.

| Table 2-3 |
|--|
| Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - North Cluster (SG155) |

| VP/ Viewshed Number | Area of visibility (m²)* |
|--|--------------------------|
| VP 1 viewshed | 700,356 |
| VP 2 viewshed | 2,497,213 |
| VP 3 viewshed | 1,870,676 |
| VP 1-3 viewshed combined (minus overlap) | 3,948,319 |

⁵ The survey wind farm polygon (WP) includes the area within 500m of the outermost turbine blades.

Vestas 162 Turbine

Using a surface offset of 17.0m, the combined viewshed area (minus overlap) from VP1, VP2 & VP3 (3,660,514m²) represents 71.5% of the survey WP (i.e., turbines buffered by 582m) (5,118,214m²). Viewshed data are presented in **Table 2-4**.

| VP/ Viewshed Number | Area of visibility (m²)* |
|--|--------------------------|
| VP 1 viewshed | 661,483 |
| VP 2 viewshed | 2,130,074 |
| VP 3 viewshed | 1,644,556 |
| VP 1-3 viewshed combined (minus overlap) | 3,660,514 |

Table 2-4Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - North Cluster (V162)

South Cluster

The viewshed coverage of the survey WP from VP5 is very small (c.16ha) and lies completely within the survey WP coverage from VP7. For this reason, surveys from VP5 were discontinued in 2022 once the layout design was known.

Siemens Gamesa 155 Turbine

Using a surface offset of 25.0m, the combined viewshed area (minus overlap) from VP4, VP5 & VP7 (3,261,764m²) represents 89.3% of the survey WP (i.e., turbines buffered by 577.5m) (3,649,139m²). Viewshed data are presented in **Table 2-5**.

Table 2-5Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - South Cluster (SG155)

| VP/ Viewshed Number | Area of visibility (m²)* |
|--|--------------------------|
| VP 4 viewshed | 2,141,875 |
| VP 5 viewshed | 161,473 |
| VP 7 viewshed | 2,551,864 |
| VP 4,5,7 viewshed combined (minus overlap) | 3,261,764 |

Vestas 162 Turbine

Using a surface offset of 17.0m, the combined viewshed area (minus overlap) from VP4, VP5 & VP7 (3,272,030m²) represents 89.1% of the survey WP (i.e., turbines buffered by 582m) (3,673,529m²). Viewshed data are presented in **Table 2-6**.

SLR^Q

| Coolgiass VP Viewslieu Data - South Cluster (V102) | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| /P/ Viewshed Number | Area of visibility (m²)* | | |
| /P 4 viewshed | 2,093,582 | | |
| /P 5 viewshed | 165,069 | | |
| /P 7 viewshed | 2,453,118 | | |
| /P 4.5.7 viewshed combined (minus overlap) | 3.272.030 | | |

 Table 2-6

 Coolglass VP Viewshed Data - South Cluster (V162)

2.1.4 Flight Selection for CRM

In order to select flights liable to incur a potential risk of collision, i.e., within the areas occupied by proposed turbines, the CRM used only observations collected within the WP – defined by a 500 m buffer around the proposed outermost turbine locations. The size of buffer takes into account rotor blade length and potential spatial errors in flight recording accuracy. It is known that bird detection rates vary between species. To ensure the CRM used robust measures of flight activity, a 2 km distance truncation was used in the viewshed from each VP, i.e., only flights within 2 km of each VP were included (as per NS guidance).

Analysis in MS Excel and GIS identified those flights that were at Potential Collision Height (PCH) and within the WP. Flight times that were used in the CRM were derived from field data for each flight. Time spent at different flight heights was estimated in a database from interval data for flights that entered the WP. Flying time estimated to occur within the survey recording height bands (see following section) was used to determine the period that target species were at risk of collision with the rotors.

2.1.5 Correcting Survey PCH to Actual PCH

September 2017 to March 2018 Surveys

Baseline VP surveys were initiated before the current candidate turbine details were known. The baseline surveys during September 2017 to March 2018 utilised the following height bands:

- 1 = <30m
- 2 = 30-40m
- 3 = 40-50m
- 4 = 50-170m
- 5 = >170m

Siemens Gamesa 155 Turbine

The height bands used to record flight activity do not correspond precisely to PCH for the Siemens Gamesa 155 turbine (25-180m), i.e., height band 1 overlaps with the lower limit of the actual PCH (25-30m of the 0-30m band) and height band 5 overlaps with the upper limit of the actual PCH (170-180m of the >170m band). Assuming flight heights are equally distributed it is likely that a proportion of height band 1 will be below PCHs.

For height band 5 (>170m), it is not possible to make assumptions on the proportion of flights that were above risk height. Therefore, all flights in height band 5 were included in the CRM.

Overall, the proportion of flights included within the CRM for the SG 155 turbine in all height bands was 155/170 (91%).



Vestas 162 Turbine

Similarly, for the Vestas 162 turbine, the actual PCH is 17-180m. It is therefore assumed that a proportion of height band 1 will be below PCHs. All flights in height band 5 were included in the CRM. Overall, the proportion of flights included within the CRM in all height bands was 163/170 (96%).

April 2021 to August 2022 Surveys

On resumption of surveys in 2021, survey height bands were reviewed. Baseline surveys during this period utilised the following height bands:

- 1 = <15m
- 2 = 15-30m
- 3 = 30-150m
- 4 = 150-200m
- 5 = >200m

Siemens Gamesa 155 Turbine

It is assumed that a proportion of flights in height band 1 will be below PCH and a proportion of flights in height band 4 will be above PCH. Height band 5 was above the upper limit of PCH, so any flights in this height band were excluded from the CRM. Overall, the proportion of flights included within the CRM for the SG 155 turbine in all height bands was (180-25)/200 (77.5%).

Vestas 162 Turbine

It is assumed that a proportion of flights in height band 1 will be below PCH and a proportion of flights in height band 4 will be above PCH. Height band 5 was above the upper limit of PCH, so any flights in this height band were excluded from the CRM. Overall, the proportion of flights included within the CRM for the Vestas 162 turbine in all height bands was (180-17)/200 (81.5%).

2.1.6 Seasonal Definitions

CRMs were constructed using data from the relevant breeding and non-breeding season periods, assumed to be April – August (breeding season) and September – March (non-breeding season)⁶.

The theoretical time that birds could be active with potential for turbine collisions was assumed to be the period between sunrise and sunset within each survey period using the latitude of the Site⁷.

For waders and wildfowl, which could be active nocturnally, an additional 25% of nocturnal hours were added to the daylight hours to give a more accurate representation of the available hours for these species (as per Band *et al.*, 2007).

2.1.7 Undertaking CRM

Collision risk modelling employs an estimated three-dimensional risk volume⁸, in keeping with the assumption that flight directions are random in space. For species with non-directional (e.g., random, circling and foraging)

⁸ Calculated by multiplying the area of the wind farm by the diameter of the rotors.





⁶ Note that in the 2021 breeding season and 2021/22 non-breeding baseline reports, the breeding season was defined as April – September and non-breeding season as October – March. This difference has no effect on the CRM.

⁷ <u>https://www.timeanddate.com</u> [Accessed in September 2022].

flights, the occupancy data are derived by multiplying the numbers of a particular species flying through the survey risk area (i.e., the WP) by the total time spent.

The following parameters were entered into a bespoke modelling spreadsheet:-

- The total observation effort within the risk volume (V_w) visible from each VP;
- The occupancy total: the total time spent by a particular species flying within the risk volume (V_w) visible from each VP;
- The size of the risk volume Vw in m³ visible from each VP (this is area covered by the outermost turbines with the 500m buffer);
- An estimation of average daylight hours within the season of analysis;
- Species-specific bird parameters (Table 2-7); and
- Wind farm and turbine parameters (Table 2-8, Table 2-9, Table 2-10 and Table 2-11).

Maps showing VP locations and viewsheds along with the 500 m buffer around the outermost turbine blades are shown in associated baseline bird reports.

The NS CRM spreadsheet⁹ calculates the probability of collision for each species. The model then combines this probability of collision with the observed flight activity per unit area (hours per hectare) weighted for observation effort from each VP to produce an estimate of the number of transits through the rotor blades. Mortality estimates are then derived by applying species-specific avoidance rates.

2.1.8 Bird Biometrics and Avoidance Rates

Measurements and flight speeds of the species for which CRM was undertaken were derived from British Trust for Ornithology (BTO)¹⁰, Provan & Whitfield (2007¹¹), Bruderer & Boldt (2001¹²) and Alerstram *et al.* (2007¹³). The avoidance rates for these species are taken from NS (2018¹⁴).

| Species name | Bird length (m) | Wingspan (m) | Flight speed (m/s) | Avoidance rate (%) |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Common kestrel | 0.34 | 0.8 | 12.7 | 95 |

Table 2-7Bird biometrics and avoidance rates used in CRM

model#:~:text=2.%20Recommended%20avoidance%20rates%20%20%20Species%20,%20SNH%20%282013%2 9%20%207%20more%20rows%20. [Accessed in September 2022].



SLR^Q

⁹<u>https://www.nature.scot/wind-farm-impacts-birds-calculating-probability-collision</u> [Accessed in September 2022].

¹⁰ <u>https://www.bto.org/understanding-birds/birdfacts</u> [Accessed in September 2022].

¹¹ Provan, S. and Whitfield, D.P. (2007) Avian flight speeds and biometrics for use in collision risk modelling. Report to Scottish Natural Heritage.

¹² Bruderer, B. and Bolt, A. (2001) Flight characteristics of birds: 1. Radar measurements of speeds, *Ibis*, **143**. 178 – 204.

¹³ Alerstam T, Rosén M, Bäckman J, Ericson PG, Hellgren O. (2007). Flight speeds among bird species: allometric and phylogenetic effects. PLoS Biol.

¹⁴ SNH (2018) Avoidance rates for the onshore SNH wind farm collision risk model. <u>https://www.nature.scot/doc/wind-farm-impacts-birds-use-avoidance-rates-naturescot-wind-farm-collision-risk-</u>

| Species name | Bird length (m) | Wingspan (m) | Flight speed (m/s) | Avoidance rate (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Peregrine falcon | 0.45 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 98 |
| European golden plover | 0.28 | 0.72 | 17.5 | 98 |
| Northern lapwing | 0.30 | 0.84 | 12.3 | 98 |
| Common snipe | 0.26 | 0.455 | 16.0 | 98 |

2.1.9 Wind Farm and Turbine Parameters

The wind turbine parameters used in the CRM are detailed in **Table 2-8 Table 2-9, Table 2-10** and **Table 2-11** based on the use of 1) Siemens Gamesa 155 Turbine, and 2) Vestas V162-7.2 MW turbines.

Table 2-8 Wind farm & turbine parameters – North Cluster (SG155)

| Parameter | Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Size of survey wind farm polygon (WP) | 508.8 ha |
| Number of turbines | 7 |
| Rotor radius/ diameter | 77.5m/ 157.0m |
| Hub height | 102.5m |
| Max. chord | 4.5m |
| Pitch | 6° |
| Rotation period | 5.4s (max 11.1rpm) |
| Turbine operation time | 85% |

Table 2-9Wind farm & turbine parameters – North Cluster (V162)

| Parameter | Value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Size of survey wind farm polygon (WP) | 511.8 ha |
| Number of turbines | 7 |
| Rotor radius/ diameter | 81.0m/ 162.0m |
| Hub height | 99.0m |
| Max. chord | 4.3m |
| Pitch | 6° |
| Rotation period | 4.96s (max 12.1rpm) |
| Turbine operation time | 85% |



SLR

Table 2-10 Wind farm & turbine parameters – South Cluster (SG155)

| Parameter | Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Size of survey wind farm polygon (WP) | 364.9 ha |
| Number of turbines | 6 |
| Rotor radius/ diameter | 77.5m/ 157.0m |
| Hub height | 102.5m |
| Max. chord | 4.5m |
| Pitch | 6° |
| Rotation period | 5.4s (max 11.1rpm) |
| Turbine operation time | 85% |

Table 2-11Wind farm & turbine parameters – South Cluster (V162)

| Parameter | Value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Size of survey wind farm polygon (WP) | 367.4 ha |
| Number of turbines | 6 |
| Rotor radius/ diameter | 81.0m/ 162.0m |
| Hub height | 99.0m |
| Max. chord | 4.3m |
| Pitch | 6° |
| Rotation period | 4.96s (max 12.1rpm) |
| Turbine operation time | 85% |

2.2 Coolglass Flightline Data

Table 2-12 and **Table 2-19** summarise the primary target species flightline¹⁵ data from VP surveys conducted, presented for each cluster and season. **Table 2-13** to **Table 2-18** (inclusive) and **Table 2-20** to **Table 2-26** (inclusive) present the seasonal primary target species occupancy data within each height band, and the total atrisk occupancy data used in the CRM.

¹⁵ A flight line refers to the line drawn to record avian movement during a VP survey. A single flight line may be used indicate the collective movement of a flock of birds. In Table 2-12 'Individuals' refers to the cumulative number of birds within these flight lines.



2.2.1 North Cluster

Table 2-12

Number of target species flights and individuals observed passing through the Coolglass North Cluster WP during VP surveys (2017/18 and 2021/ 2022)

| Species name | Period of analysis | Cumulative number of birds recorded in flight | Flights thro | ough WP | Flights thr Potential Height (PC | ough WP at Collision H ¹⁶) |
|---------------------------|---|---|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Flights | Individuals | Flights | Individuals |
| Hen harrier | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Common kestrel | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 18 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| | Breeding season 2021 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 29 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 14 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 92 | 40 | 43 | 38 | 41 |
| Peregrine falcon | Breeding season 2021 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 9 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| European golden plover | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 2,039 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Northern lapwing | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

¹⁶ In this table, PCH is assumed to be within the 0-170m survey height bands (2017/2018 data) or within the 15-200m survey height bands (2021/2022 data)



| Species name | Period of analysis | Cumulative number of birds recorded in flight | Flights thr | ough WP | Flights through WP at Potential Collision Height (PCH ¹⁶) | | |
|----------------------|---|---|-------------|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| | | | Flights | Individuals | Flights | Individuals | |
| | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Common snipe | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| Eurasian woodcock | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying | Time in | height c | ategory (| s) | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | | flights | birds | time (s) | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk |
| Sep-17 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar-18 | VP2 | 2 | 2 | 152 | 40 | 0 | 18 | 94 | 0 | 112 |
| | VP3 | 9 | 9 | 889 | 249 | 18 | 112 | 510 | 0 | 640 |
| Total | | 11 | 11 | 1041 | 289 | 18 | 130 | 604 | 0 | 752 |
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk |
| Apr-21 to | VP1 | 1 | 1 | 270 | 15 | 90 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| Aug-21 | VP2 | 4 | 4 | 480 | 30 | 420 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | VP3 | 7 | 7 | 435 | 180 | 180 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| Sep-21 to | VP1 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 15 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar-22 | VP2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP3 | 5 | 5 | 315 | 165 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr-22 to | VP1 | 12 | 14 | 1950 | 45 | 1710 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 195 |
| Aug-22 | VP2 | 4 | 4 | 495 | 45 | 435 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | VP3 | 24 | 25 | 2145 | 195 | 1650 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 300 |
| Total | | 58 | 61 | 6150 | 690 | 4680 | 780 | 0 | 0 | 780 |

Table 2-13Details of Common Kestrel Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines

Table 2-14Details of Peregrine Falcon Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines

| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying Time in time (s) <15m | Time in height category (s) | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | | flights | biras | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk |
| Apr-21 to | VP1 | 3 | 3 | 630 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 30 | 495 | 75 |
| Aug-21 | VP2 | 2 | 2 | 255 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 105 | 0 | 225 |
| | VP3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep-21 to | VP1 | 1 | 1 | 150 | 45 | 30 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| Mar-22 | VP2 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Period | VP No. | No. No. of flights | lo. of No. of ights birds | Total flying | Time in height category (s) | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | | | | time (s) | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk |
| Apr-22 to | VP2 | 1 | 1 | 315 | 0 | 180 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Aug-22 | VP3 | 2 | 2 | 105 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 10 | 10 | 1500 | 120 | 375 | 375 | 135 | 495 | 510 |

Table 2-15Details of European Golden Plover Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines

| Period VP No. No. of No. of Total flying Time in height category | | | | | ategory (| s) | | | | |
|--|-----|---------|-------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | | flights | birds | time (s) | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk |
| Sep-17 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar-18 | VP2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP3 | 1 | 2 | 84 | 44 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Total | | 1 | 2 | 84 | 44 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk |
| Sep-21 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar-22 | VP2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP3 | 1 | 9 | 405 | 405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 1 | 9 | 405 | 405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2-16 Details of Northern Lapwing Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines

| Period | VP No. No. flight | No. of No. of flights birds | Total flying | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------|------------|------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| | | | birus | time (s) | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk |
| Sep-17 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar-18 | VP2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP3 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 93 |
| Total | | 1 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 93 |

Table 2-17 Details of Common Snipe Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines

| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying time (s) | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | nignts | DIFOS | | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk | |
| Sep-17 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-18 | VP2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP3 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | 3 | 3 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Sep-21 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-22 | VP2 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Apr-22 to | VP1 | 2 | 2 | 6240 | 630 | 5610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Aug-22 | VP2 | 1 | 1 | 1575 | 975 | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | 4 | 4 | 7860 | 1635 | 6225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Table 2-18 |
|---|
| Details of Woodcock Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of North Cluster Turbines |

| Period | VP No. | No. of flights | No. of birds | Total flying time (s) | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Apr-22 to | VP1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Aug-22 | VP2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP3 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | 1 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

2.2.2 South Cluster

Table 2-19Number of target species flights and individuals observed passing through the Coolglass South Cluster WPduring VP surveys (2017/18 and 2021/ 2022)

| Species name | Period of analysis | Total number of birds recorded in flight | Flights thr | ough WP | Flights through WP at Potential Collision Height (PCH) | | |
|---------------------|---|--|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Flights | Individuals | Flights | Individuals | |
| Common kestrel | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 61 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| | Breeding season 2021 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 29 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 16 | |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 27 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Peregrine falcon | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Breeding season 2021 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| | Breeding season 2022 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Page 17



| Species name | Period of analysis | Total number of birds recorded in flight | Flights thre | ough WP | Flights through WP at Potential Collision Height (PCH) | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Flights | Individuals | Flights | Individuals | |
| European golden plover | Non-breeding season 2017/18 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 39 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 7 | |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 330 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 39 | |
| Northern lapwing | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | |
| Common snipe | Breeding season 2021 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Non-breeding season 2021/22 (01 Sep-31 Mar) | 13 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | |
| Woodcock | Breeding season 2021 (01 Apr-31 Aug) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |

| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | flights | birds | time (s) | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk | |
| Sep-17 to | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-18 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 10 | 10 | 1322 | 800 | 130 | 149 | 88 | 155 | 522 | |
| Total | | 10 | 10 | 1322 | 800 | 130 | 149 | 88 | 155 | 522 | |
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Apr-21 to | VP4 | 4 | 5 | 255 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Aug-21 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 14 | 14 | 1230 | 930 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Sep-21 to | VP4 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-22 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 11 | 11 | 1170 | 555 | 585 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | |
| Apr-22 to | VP4 | 3 | 3 | 240 | 0 | 45 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 195 | |
| Aug-22 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | Total | | 34 | 2955 | 1545 | 1185 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 225 | |

 Table 2-20

 Details of Common Kestrel Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines



| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | flights | birds | time (s) | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk | |
| Sep-17 to | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-18 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 1 | 1 | 106 | 45 | 22 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 61 | |
| Total | | 1 | 1 | 106 | 45 | 22 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 61 | |
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Apr-21 to | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Aug-21 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 1 | 1 | 165 | 0 | 30 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 | |
| Sep-21 to | VP4 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-22 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 1 | 1 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | |
| Total | | 3 | 3 | 285 | 0 | 60 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 225 | |

Table 2-21Details of Peregrine Falcon Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines

 Table 2-22

 Details of European Golden plover Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines

| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | flights | DIFOS | time (s) | <30m | 30- 40m | 40- 50m | 50- 170m | >170m | At risk | |
| Sep-17 to | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-18 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 2 | 7 | 141 | 105 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | |
| Total | | 2 | 7 | 141 | 105 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | |
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Sep-21 to | VP4 | 1 | 23 | 1035 | 0 | 1035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-22 | VP5 | 1 | 16 | 2400 | 0 | 0 | 2400 | 0 | 0 | 2400 | |
| | VP7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | 2 | 39 | 3435 | 0 | 1035 | 2400 | 0 | 0 | 2400 | |



| Table 2-23 |
|---|
| Details of Northern Lapwing Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines |

| Period | VP No. | No. of flights | No. of birds | Total flying time (s) | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Sep-21 to | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-22 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 1 | 10 | 2250 | 0 | 300 | 1950 | 0 | 0 | 1950 | |
| Total | | 1 | 10 | 2250 | 0 | 300 | 1950 | 0 | 0 | 1950 | |

Table 2-24 Details of Common Snipe Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines

| Period | VP No. | No. of | No. of birds | Total flying time (s) | Time in height category (s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|--|
| | | Ingrits | | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk | |
| Apr-21 to Aug-21 | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Sep-21 to | VP4 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mar-22 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | VP7 | 4 | 6 | 255 | 150 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | 6 | 9 | 300 | 195 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Table 2-25Details of Woodcock Flights Recorded within 500m Buffer of South Cluster Turbines

| Period VP N | VP No. | No. of | No. of | Total flying time (s) | Time in height category (s) | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|---------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | | flights | birds | | <15m | 15- 30m | 30- 150m | 150- 200m | >200m | At risk |
| Apr-21 to | VP4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug-21 | VP5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | VP7 | 1 | 1 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 1 | 1 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



3.0 Collision Risk Modelling Results

Table 3-1 (Siemens Gamesa 155 Turbine Model) and **Table 3-2** (Vestas V162-7.2 MW Turbine Model) summarise the predicted collision rates for the five species under consideration. Copies of the modelling calculations for each species are included in Appendices 01-02.

| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Period of analysis | Modelled collisions per Season | Years per collision | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Common kestrel | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.6094 | 1.64 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.2104 | 4.75 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0406 | 24.65 | | |
| | | Annual | 0.6791 | 1.47 | | |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.0879 | 11.38 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.3001 | 3.33 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.1076 | 9.29 | | |
| | | Annual | 0.2755 | 3.63 | | |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.9546 | 1.05 | | |
| Peregrine falcon | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.0423 | 23.63 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0 | - | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0102 | 97.65 | | |
| | | Annual | 0.0433 | 23.08 | | |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.0086 | 116.95 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0113 | 88.66 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0098 | 101.60 | | |

Table 3-1 Summary of CRM Output (SG155)



| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Period of analysis | Modelled collisions per Season | Years per collision |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Annual | 0.0185 | 54.10 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.0618 | 16.2 |
| European golden plover | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0119 | 84.15 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0 | - |
| | | Annual | 0.0056 | 178.23 |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0224 | 44.64 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.4207 | 2.38 |
| | | Annual | 0.2306 | 4.34 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.2362 | 4.23 |
| Northern lapwing | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0099 | 100.82 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0 | - |
| | | Annual | 0.0047 | 213.52 |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.2077 | 4.81 |
| | | Annual | 0.1094 | 9.14 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.1141 | 8.76 |
| Common snipe | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.4324 | 2.31 |



SLR

| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Period of analysis | Modelled collisions per Season | Years per collision |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0046 | 215.16 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | n-breeding 0.0022 Ison 2021/22 | |
| | | Annual | 0.4291 | 2.33 |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0083 | 120.95 |
| | | Annual | 0.0048 | 209.84 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.4339 | 2.30 |

Table 3-2Summary of CRM Output (V162)

| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Period of analysis | Modelled collisions per Season | Years per collision | | |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Common kestrel | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.7349 | 1.36 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | Non-breeding 0.1829 season 2017/18 | | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.489 | 20.45 | | |
| | | Annual | 0.7820 | 1.28 | | |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.957 | 10.45 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.3266 | 3.06 | | |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.1170 | 8.54 | | |
| | | Annual | 0.2998 | 3.34 | | |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.9244 | 0.92 | | |

| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Period of analysis | Modelled collisions per Season | Years per collision |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Peregrine falcon | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.0513 | 19.47 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0124 | 80.51 |
| | | Annual | 0.0525 | 19.03 |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.0094 | 106.80 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0124 | 80.96 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0108 | 92.83 |
| | | Annual | 0.0202 | 49.41 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.0727 | 13.76 |
| European golden plover | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.140 | 71.58 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0 | - |
| | | Annual | 0.066 | 151.41 |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.238 | 41.99 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.4469 | 2.24 |
| | | Annual | 0.2451 | 4.08 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.3111 | 3.21 |
| Northern lapwing | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.118 | 84.45 |

| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Period of analysis | Modelled collisions per Season | Years per collision |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0 | - |
| | | Annual | 178.63 | |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.2241 | 4.46 |
| | | Annual | 0.1181 | 8.47 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.1237 | 8.08 |
| Common snipe | North | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0.3327 | 3.01 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2017/18 | 0.0034 | 290.33 |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 0.0017 | 578.42 |
| | | Annual | 0.3297 | 3.03 |
| | South | Breeding season 2021+2022 | 0 | - |
| | | Non-breeding 0 season 2017/18 | | - |
| | | Non-breeding season 2021/22 | 113.33 | |
| | | Annual | 0.0051 | 196.56 |
| | North + South | Annual | 0.3348 | 2.99 |

3.1 Species Summary

The annual mortality rates for the north and south clusters combined for each species modelled are summarised in as follows:

SLR

| Table 3-3 |
|--------------------------------------|
| Summary of CRM Output (Annual Rates) |

| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Turbine Model | Annual collisions | Years per collision | |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| Common kestrel | North | SG155 | 0.6791 | 1.47 | |
| | North | V162 | 0.7820 | 1.28 | |
| | South | SG155 | 0.2755 | 3.63 | |
| | South | V162 | 0.2998 | 3.34 | |
| | North + South | SG155 | 0.9546 | 1.05 | |
| | North + South | V162 | 0.9244 | 0.92 | |
| Peregrine falcon | North | SG155 | 0.0433 | 23.08 | |
| | North | V162 | 0.0525 | 19.03 | |
| | South | SG155 | 0.0185 | 54.10 | |
| | South | V162 | 0.0202 | 49.41 | |
| | North + South | SG155 | 0.0618 | 16.2 | |
| | North + South | V162 | 0.0727 | 13.76 | |
| European golden | North | SG155 | 0.0056 | 178.23 | |
| plover | North | V162 | 0.066 | 151.41 | |
| | South | SG155 | 0.2306 | 4.34 | |
| | South | V162 | 0.2451 | 4.08 | |
| | North + South | SG155 | 0.2362 | 4.23 | |
| | North + South | V162 | 0.3111 | 3.21 | |
| Northern lapwing | North | SG155 | 0.0047 | 213.52 | |
| | North | V162 | 0.0056 | 178.63 | |
| | South | SG155 | 0.1094 | 9.14 | |
| | South | V162 | 0.1181 | 8.47 | |
| | North + South | SG155 | 0.1141 | 8.76 | |





| Species name | Wind farm cluster | Turbine Model | Annual collisions | Years per collision |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | North + South | V162 | 0.1237 | 8.08 |
| Common snipe | North | SG155 | 0.4291 | 2.33 |
| | North | V162 | 0.3297 | 3.03 |
| | South | SG155 | 0.0048 | 209.84 |
| | South | V162 | 0.0051 | 196.56 |
| | North + South | SG155 | 0.4339 | 2.30 |
| | North + South | V162 | 0.3348 | 2.99 |

APPENDIX 01

CRM Probability Calculations Siemens Gamesa 155

Common Kestrel

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation | of alpha and p | o(collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.5 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BirdLength | 0.34 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 5.63 | 17.64 | 0.77 | 0.00096 | 17.10 | 0.75 | 0.00093 |
| Wingspan | 0.8 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 1.88 | 6.06 | 0.27 | 0.00199 | 5.52 | 0.24 | 0.00181 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (· | 1 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.13 | 4.44 | 0.19 | 0.00243 | 3.78 | 0.17 | 0.00207 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.80 | 3.91 | 0.17 | 0.00299 | 3.10 | 0.14 | 0.00238 |
| Bird speed | 12.7 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.63 | 3.57 | 0.16 | 0.00352 | 2.64 | 0.12 | 0.00260 |
| RotorDiam | 155 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.51 | 2.88 | 0.13 | 0.00346 | 1.99 | 0.09 | 0.00239 |
| RotationPeriod | 5.40 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.43 | 2.39 | 0.10 | 0.00339 | 1.54 | 0.07 | 0.00219 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.38 | 2.17 | 0.09 | 0.00356 | 1.37 | 0.06 | 0.00225 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.33 | 1.91 | 0.08 | 0.00355 | 1.15 | 0.05 | 0.00214 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.30 | 1.70 | 0.07 | 0.00353 | 0.99 | 0.04 | 0.00205 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.43 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.27 | 1.52 | 0.07 | 0.00350 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.00197 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.24 | 1.37 | 0.06 | 0.00346 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.00189 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.23 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0.00341 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.00183 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.21 | 1.13 | 0.05 | 0.00335 | 0.60 | 0.03 | 0.00178 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.19 | 1.03 | 0.05 | 0.00328 | 0.55 | 0.02 | 0.00173 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.18 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 0.00320 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.00170 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.17 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.00311 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.00167 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.16 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.00301 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.00166 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 0.00290 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.00165 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.14 | 0.65 | 0.03 | 0.00278 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.00166 |
| | | | | Overall p(col | lision) = | | Upwind | 6.1% | | Downwind | 3.8% |
| | | | | | , | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 5.0% | | |



Peregrine Falcon

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation of | of alpha and p | (collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.5 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| BirdLength | 0.45 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 6.21 | 20.60 | 0.82 | 0.00102 | 20.06 | 0.80 | 0.00099 |
| Wingspan | 1.1 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 2.07 | 7.05 | 0.28 | 0.00210 | 6.51 | 0.26 | 0.00194 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (- | 1 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.24 | 5.10 | 0.20 | 0.00253 | 4.44 | 0.18 | 0.00220 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.89 | 4.44 | 0.18 | 0.00308 | 3.63 | 0.14 | 0.00252 |
| Bird speed | 14 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.69 | 4.02 | 0.16 | 0.00359 | 3.09 | 0.12 | 0.00276 |
| RotorDiam | 155 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.56 | 3.23 | 0.13 | 0.00353 | 2.34 | 0.09 | 0.00256 |
| RotationPeriod | 5.40 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.48 | 2.68 | 0.11 | 0.00346 | 1.83 | 0.07 | 0.00236 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.41 | 2.27 | 0.09 | 0.00337 | 1.47 | 0.06 | 0.00218 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.37 | 2.14 | 0.08 | 0.00361 | 1.39 | 0.05 | 0.00234 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.33 | 1.91 | 0.08 | 0.00360 | 1.20 | 0.05 | 0.00226 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.41 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.30 | 1.72 | 0.07 | 0.00358 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 0.00220 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.27 | 1.56 | 0.06 | 0.00356 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 0.00214 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.25 | 1.42 | 0.06 | 0.00352 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.00209 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.23 | 1.30 | 0.05 | 0.00348 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.00205 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.21 | 1.19 | 0.05 | 0.00342 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 0.00202 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.20 | 1.09 | 0.04 | 0.00336 | 0.65 | 0.03 | 0.00200 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 0.00329 | 0.61 | 0.02 | 0.00199 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.18 | 0.92 | 0.04 | 0.00321 | 0.57 | 0.02 | 0.00198 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.17 | 0.85 | 0.03 | 0.00312 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 0.00199 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.16 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 0.00302 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.00200 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | ision) = | | Upwind | 6.3% | | Downwind | 4.3% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 5.3% | | |


| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation | of alpha and p | o(collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.5 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| BirdLength | 0.28 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 7.98 | 26.41 | 0.82 | 0.00102 | 25.87 | 0.80 | 0.00100 |
| Wingspan | 0.7 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 2.66 | 8.98 | 0.28 | 0.00208 | 8.44 | 0.26 | 0.00195 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (- | 0 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.60 | 6.46 | 0.20 | 0.00249 | 5.80 | 0.18 | 0.00224 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 1.14 | 5.59 | 0.17 | 0.00302 | 4.78 | 0.15 | 0.00258 |
| Bird speed | 18 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.89 | 5.04 | 0.16 | 0.00350 | 4.10 | 0.13 | 0.00285 |
| RotorDiam | 155 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.73 | 4.03 | 0.12 | 0.00342 | 3.14 | 0.10 | 0.00266 |
| RotationPeriod | 5.40 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.61 | 3.32 | 0.10 | 0.00333 | 2.48 | 0.08 | 0.00249 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.53 | 2.80 | 0.09 | 0.00324 | 2.00 | 0.06 | 0.00231 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.47 | 2.40 | 0.07 | 0.00314 | 1.64 | 0.05 | 0.00215 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.42 | 2.07 | 0.06 | 0.00304 | 1.36 | 0.04 | 0.00199 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.40 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.38 | 1.82 | 0.06 | 0.00295 | 1.15 | 0.04 | 0.00187 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.35 | 1.62 | 0.05 | 0.00287 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.00177 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.32 | 1.44 | 0.04 | 0.00279 | 0.87 | 0.03 | 0.00167 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.30 | 1.29 | 0.04 | 0.00270 | 0.76 | 0.02 | 0.00159 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.28 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 0.00260 | 0.67 | 0.02 | 0.00151 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.26 | 1.04 | 0.03 | 0.00249 | 0.60 | 0.02 | 0.00144 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.24 | 0.94 | 0.03 | 0.00238 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 0.00137 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.23 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.00226 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.00131 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.22 | 0.75 | 0.02 | 0.00214 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.00126 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.20 | 0.67 | 0.02 | 0.00201 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.00122 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | lision) = | | Upwind | 5.3% | | Downwind | 3.7% |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 4.5% | | |

European Golden Plover



Northern Lapwing

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation | of alpha and p | o(collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.5 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BirdLength | 0.3 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 5.46 | 18.89 | 0.85 | 0.00107 | 18.35 | 0.83 | 0.00104 |
| Wingspan | 0.84 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 1.82 | 6.48 | 0.29 | 0.00219 | 5.94 | 0.27 | 0.00201 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (- | 0 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.09 | 4.67 | 0.21 | 0.00264 | 4.01 | 0.18 | 0.00227 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.78 | 4.06 | 0.18 | 0.00321 | 3.25 | 0.15 | 0.00257 |
| Bird speed | 12.3 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.61 | 3.67 | 0.17 | 0.00373 | 2.74 | 0.12 | 0.00278 |
| RotorDiam | 155 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.50 | 2.96 | 0.13 | 0.00368 | 2.07 | 0.09 | 0.00257 |
| RotationPeriod | 5.40 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.42 | 2.46 | 0.11 | 0.00362 | 1.62 | 0.07 | 0.00238 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.36 | 2.09 | 0.09 | 0.00354 | 1.29 | 0.06 | 0.00219 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.32 | 1.83 | 0.08 | 0.00352 | 1.08 | 0.05 | 0.00207 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.29 | 1.63 | 0.07 | 0.00349 | 0.92 | 0.04 | 0.00196 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.36 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.26 | 1.46 | 0.07 | 0.00345 | 0.79 | 0.04 | 0.00187 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.24 | 1.31 | 0.06 | 0.00341 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.00179 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.22 | 1.19 | 0.05 | 0.00335 | 0.61 | 0.03 | 0.00172 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.20 | 1.08 | 0.05 | 0.00328 | 0.55 | 0.02 | 0.00166 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.19 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 0.00321 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.00161 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.18 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.00312 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 0.00157 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.17 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.00302 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.00154 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 0.03 | 0.00291 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.00152 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.15 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.00280 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.00151 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.03 | 0.00267 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.00151 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | lision) = | | Upwind | 6.2% | | Downwind | 3.8% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 5.0% | | |



Common Snipe

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation of alpha and p(collision) as a function of radius | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|---|----------------|----------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | - |
| MaxChord | 4.5 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BirdLength | 0.26 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 7.10 | 21.76 | 0.76 | 0.00094 | 21.22 | 0.74 | 0.00092 |
| Wingspan | 0.455 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 2.37 | 7.43 | 0.26 | 0.00194 | 6.89 | 0.24 | 0.00180 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (· | 0 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.42 | 5.43 | 0.19 | 0.00236 | 4.77 | 0.17 | 0.00207 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 1.01 | 4.77 | 0.17 | 0.00290 | 3.96 | 0.14 | 0.00241 |
| Bird speed | 16 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.79 | 4.34 | 0.15 | 0.00339 | 3.40 | 0.12 | 0.00266 |
| RotorDiam | 155 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.65 | 3.47 | 0.12 | 0.00332 | 2.58 | 0.09 | 0.00247 |
| RotationPeriod | 5.40 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.55 | 2.88 | 0.10 | 0.00325 | 2.03 | 0.07 | 0.00229 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.47 | 2.46 | 0.09 | 0.00321 | 1.66 | 0.06 | 0.00216 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.42 | 2.14 | 0.07 | 0.00316 | 1.38 | 0.05 | 0.00204 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.37 | 1.88 | 0.07 | 0.00310 | 1.17 | 0.04 | 0.00193 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.57 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.34 | 1.66 | 0.06 | 0.00303 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.00182 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.31 | 1.48 | 0.05 | 0.00296 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.00172 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.28 | 1.33 | 0.05 | 0.00288 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.00163 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.26 | 1.19 | 0.04 | 0.00279 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 0.00154 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.24 | 1.07 | 0.04 | 0.00269 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.00147 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.23 | 0.96 | 0.03 | 0.00259 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.00140 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.22 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.00248 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.00134 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.20 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 0.00236 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.00129 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.00223 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.00124 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.18 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.00209 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.00121 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | ision) = | | Upwind | 5.4% | | Downwind | 3.5% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 4.5% | | |



APPENDIX 02

CRM Probability Calculations

Vestas 162

Common Kestrel

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation of | of alpha and p | (collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | - |
| MaxChord | 4.3 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BirdLength | 0.34 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 4.95 | 14.95 | 0.71 | 0.00089 | 14.44 | 0.69 | 0.00086 |
| Wingspan | 0.8 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 1.65 | 5.16 | 0.25 | 0.00184 | 4.64 | 0.22 | 0.00166 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (- | 1 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 0.99 | 3.79 | 0.18 | 0.00226 | 3.16 | 0.15 | 0.00188 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.71 | 3.35 | 0.16 | 0.00279 | 2.58 | 0.12 | 0.00215 |
| Bird speed | 12.7 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.55 | 3.07 | 0.15 | 0.00329 | 2.17 | 0.10 | 0.00233 |
| RotorDiam | 162 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.45 | 2.48 | 0.12 | 0.00324 | 1.63 | 0.08 | 0.00213 |
| RotationPeriod | 4.96 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.38 | 2.21 | 0.11 | 0.00342 | 1.40 | 0.07 | 0.00217 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.33 | 1.92 | 0.09 | 0.00344 | 1.16 | 0.06 | 0.00207 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.29 | 1.70 | 0.08 | 0.00344 | 0.98 | 0.05 | 0.00198 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.26 | 1.52 | 0.07 | 0.00344 | 0.84 | 0.04 | 0.00191 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.43 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.24 | 1.37 | 0.07 | 0.00343 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 0.00184 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.22 | 1.24 | 0.06 | 0.00341 | 0.65 | 0.03 | 0.00178 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.20 | 1.13 | 0.05 | 0.00338 | 0.58 | 0.03 | 0.00174 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.18 | 1.04 | 0.05 | 0.00333 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.00170 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.17 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.00328 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.00168 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.16 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.00322 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 0.00166 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.00314 | 0.42 | 0.02 | 0.00165 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.14 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.00306 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.00166 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.13 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.00297 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.00167 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.13 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.00286 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 0.00170 |
| | | | | Overall n(coll | ision) = | | Unwind | 6.0% | | Downwind | 3.6% |
| | | | | | 1510H) = | | opmind | 0.078 | | Somming | 5.078 |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 4.8% | | |



Peregrine Falcon

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation | of alpha and p | (collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|-------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.3 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BirdLength | 0.45 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 5.46 | 17.50 | 0.76 | 0.00095 | 16.98 | 0.73 | 0.00092 |
| Wingspan | 1.1 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 1.82 | 6.01 | 0.26 | 0.00195 | 5.49 | 0.24 | 0.00178 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (| 1 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.09 | 4.35 | 0.19 | 0.00235 | 3.72 | 0.16 | 0.00201 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.78 | 3.80 | 0.16 | 0.00287 | 3.03 | 0.13 | 0.00229 |
| Bird speed | 14 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.61 | 3.45 | 0.15 | 0.00335 | 2.56 | 0.11 | 0.00248 |
| RotorDiam | 162 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.50 | 2.78 | 0.12 | 0.00330 | 1.93 | 0.08 | 0.00229 |
| RotationPeriod | 4.96 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.42 | 2.31 | 0.10 | 0.00325 | 1.50 | 0.06 | 0.00211 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.36 | 2.16 | 0.09 | 0.00349 | 1.39 | 0.06 | 0.00226 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.32 | 1.91 | 0.08 | 0.00352 | 1.19 | 0.05 | 0.00219 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.29 | 1.72 | 0.07 | 0.00353 | 1.04 | 0.04 | 0.00213 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.41 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.26 | 1.56 | 0.07 | 0.00353 | 0.92 | 0.04 | 0.00208 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.24 | 1.42 | 0.06 | 0.00352 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.00205 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.22 | 1.30 | 0.06 | 0.00350 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.00202 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.20 | 1.19 | 0.05 | 0.00348 | 0.68 | 0.03 | 0.00200 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.19 | 1.10 | 0.05 | 0.00344 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.00199 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.18 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 0.00340 | 0.59 | 0.03 | 0.00198 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.17 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 0.00334 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.00199 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.16 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.00328 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.00201 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 0.00321 | 0.51 | 0.02 | 0.00203 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.14 | 0.74 | 0.03 | 0.00313 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.00207 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | ision) = | | Upwind | 6.2% | | Downwind | 4.1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 5.2% | | |



| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation | Calculation of alpha and p(collision) as a function of radius | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|-------------|---|-----------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.3 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| BirdLength | 0.28 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 7.02 | 22.42 | 0.75 | 0.00094 | 21.91 | 0.74 | 0.00092 |
| Wingspan | 0.7 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 2.34 | 7.65 | 0.26 | 0.00193 | 7.13 | 0.24 | 0.00180 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (- | 0 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.40 | 5.51 | 0.19 | 0.00231 | 4.88 | 0.16 | 0.00205 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 1.00 | 4.78 | 0.16 | 0.00281 | 4.00 | 0.13 | 0.00235 |
| Bird speed | 18 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.78 | 4.31 | 0.14 | 0.00326 | 3.41 | 0.11 | 0.00258 |
| RotorDiam | 162 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.64 | 3.45 | 0.12 | 0.00319 | 2.60 | 0.09 | 0.00241 |
| RotationPeriod | 4.96 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.54 | 2.86 | 0.10 | 0.00312 | 2.05 | 0.07 | 0.00224 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.47 | 2.41 | 0.08 | 0.00304 | 1.65 | 0.06 | 0.00208 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.41 | 2.07 | 0.07 | 0.00295 | 1.35 | 0.05 | 0.00192 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.37 | 1.81 | 0.06 | 0.00289 | 1.13 | 0.04 | 0.00181 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.40 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.33 | 1.61 | 0.05 | 0.00284 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.00172 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.31 | 1.44 | 0.05 | 0.00278 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.00163 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.28 | 1.29 | 0.04 | 0.00271 | 0.74 | 0.02 | 0.00155 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.26 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 0.00264 | 0.65 | 0.02 | 0.00148 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.24 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 0.00255 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.00142 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.23 | 0.95 | 0.03 | 0.00246 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.00136 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.21 | 0.85 | 0.03 | 0.00237 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.00131 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.20 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.00226 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.00127 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.00215 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.00124 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.18 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.00203 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.00121 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | lision) = | | Upwind | 5.1% | | Downwind | 3.4% |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 4.3% | | |

European Golden Plover



Northern Lapwing

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation | of alpha and p | (collision) a | s a function | of radius | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.3 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BirdLength | 0.3 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 4.79 | 16.08 | 0.79 | 0.00099 | 15.56 | 0.77 | 0.00096 |
| Wingspan | 0.84 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 1.60 | 5.53 | 0.27 | 0.00204 | 5.01 | 0.25 | 0.00185 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (- | 0 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 0.96 | 4.00 | 0.20 | 0.00246 | 3.37 | 0.17 | 0.00207 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.68 | 3.48 | 0.17 | 0.00300 | 2.71 | 0.13 | 0.00233 |
| Bird speed | 12.3 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.53 | 3.16 | 0.16 | 0.00350 | 2.27 | 0.11 | 0.00251 |
| RotorDiam | 162 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.44 | 2.56 | 0.13 | 0.00346 | 1.71 | 0.08 | 0.00231 |
| RotationPeriod | 4.96 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.37 | 2.13 | 0.10 | 0.00341 | 1.32 | 0.07 | 0.00212 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.32 | 1.85 | 0.09 | 0.00340 | 1.08 | 0.05 | 0.00199 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.28 | 1.63 | 0.08 | 0.00341 | 0.91 | 0.04 | 0.00190 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.25 | 1.46 | 0.07 | 0.00340 | 0.78 | 0.04 | 0.00181 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.36 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.23 | 1.31 | 0.06 | 0.00338 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.00174 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.21 | 1.19 | 0.06 | 0.00335 | 0.59 | 0.03 | 0.00167 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.19 | 1.08 | 0.05 | 0.00331 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.00162 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.18 | 0.98 | 0.05 | 0.00326 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.00158 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.17 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.00320 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.00154 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.15 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.00313 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.00152 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.15 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.00305 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 0.00151 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.14 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.00296 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.00151 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.13 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.00286 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.00152 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.00274 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 0.00154 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | ision) = | | Upwind | 6.0% | | Downwind | 3.6% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 4.8% | | |



Common Snipe

| K: [1D or [3D] (0 or 1) | 1 | | Calculation of alpha and p(collision) as a function of radius | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|---|----------------|----------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|
| NoBlades | 3 | | | | | | Upwind: | | | Downwind: | |
| MaxChord | 4.3 | m | r/R | c/C | α | collide | | contribution | collide | | contribution |
| Pitch (degrees) | 6 | | radius | chord | alpha | length | p(collision) | from radius r | length | p(collision) | from radius r |
| BirdLength | 0.26 | m | 0.025 | 0.575 | 6.24 | 18.43 | 0.70 | 0.00087 | 17.92 | 0.68 | 0.00085 |
| Wingspan | 0.455 | m | 0.075 | 0.575 | 2.08 | 6.32 | 0.24 | 0.00179 | 5.80 | 0.22 | 0.00164 |
| F: Flapping (0) or gliding (| 0 | | 0.125 | 0.702 | 1.25 | 4.63 | 0.17 | 0.00219 | 3.99 | 0.15 | 0.00189 |
| | | | 0.175 | 0.860 | 0.89 | 4.07 | 0.15 | 0.00269 | 3.30 | 0.12 | 0.00218 |
| Bird speed | 16 | m/sec | 0.225 | 0.994 | 0.69 | 3.71 | 0.14 | 0.00315 | 2.82 | 0.11 | 0.00239 |
| RotorDiam | 162 | m | 0.275 | 0.947 | 0.57 | 2.98 | 0.11 | 0.00310 | 2.13 | 0.08 | 0.00221 |
| RotationPeriod | 4.96 | sec | 0.325 | 0.899 | 0.48 | 2.51 | 0.09 | 0.00308 | 1.70 | 0.06 | 0.00209 |
| | | | 0.375 | 0.851 | 0.42 | 2.16 | 0.08 | 0.00306 | 1.39 | 0.05 | 0.00197 |
| | | | 0.425 | 0.804 | 0.37 | 1.88 | 0.07 | 0.00302 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 0.00186 |
| | | | 0.475 | 0.756 | 0.33 | 1.66 | 0.06 | 0.00298 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 0.00176 |
| Bird aspect ratioo: β | 0.57 | | 0.525 | 0.708 | 0.30 | 1.48 | 0.06 | 0.00293 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.00167 |
| | | | 0.575 | 0.660 | 0.27 | 1.32 | 0.05 | 0.00288 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.00158 |
| | | | 0.625 | 0.613 | 0.25 | 1.19 | 0.04 | 0.00281 | 0.64 | 0.02 | 0.00151 |
| | | | 0.675 | 0.565 | 0.23 | 1.07 | 0.04 | 0.00274 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.00144 |
| | | | 0.725 | 0.517 | 0.22 | 0.97 | 0.04 | 0.00265 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.00138 |
| | | | 0.775 | 0.470 | 0.20 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.00256 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 0.00133 |
| | | | 0.825 | 0.422 | 0.19 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.00247 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.00128 |
| | | | 0.875 | 0.374 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.03 | 0.00236 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.00125 |
| | | | 0.925 | 0.327 | 0.17 | 0.64 | 0.02 | 0.00225 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.00122 |
| | | | 0.975 | 0.279 | 0.16 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.00212 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0.00120 |
| | | | | Overall p(coll | ision) = | | Upwind | 5.2% | | Downwind | 3.3% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Average | 4.2% | | |

APPENDIX 03

CRM Calculations Siemens Gamesa 155

Common Kestrel North Cluster Breeding Season SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 2160 | 900 | 2,205 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 72 | 72 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 5042.56 | 17979.93 | 13468.87 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.19E-04 | 1.39E-05 | 4.55E-05 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.138 | 0.493 | 0.369 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.64E-05 | 6.85E-06 | 1.68E-05 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000040 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 2.039% | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 1.580% | | | | | |



| I | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 37.56 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 639,288.19 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 109.6067 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 288 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 12.188 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| 95.00% | 0.6094 | approx one collision every | 1.64 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 152 | 889 | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 3571.81 | 10738.01 | 7482.70 | | | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 3.93E-06 | 3.30E-05 | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.164 | 0.493 | 0.343 | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 1.94E-06 | 1.13E-05 | | | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000013 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.675% | | | | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.616% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 12.97 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 639,288.19 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 37.84 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 99 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 4.208 | collisions | ; |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.2104 | approx o | one collision every 4.75 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 45 | 0 | 150 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 35 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 2521.28 | 8740.24 | 6734.43 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 4.96E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 6.19E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.140 | 0.486 | 0.374 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 6.95E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 2.32E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | - | 0.000003 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | - |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.153% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.119% | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 2.50 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 639,288.19 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 7.30 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 19 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.811 | collisions | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.0406 | approx one collision every | 24.65 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Common Kestrel North Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 2205 | 1,052 | 3,244 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (v) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.07 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 11135.65 | 37458.19 | 27686.01 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 5.50E-05 | 7.80E-06 | 3.25E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.146 | 0.491 | 0.363 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 8.03E-06 | 3.83E-06 | 1.18E-05 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.000024 | | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.205% | | | | | | |

| | | 1 |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.934% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 41.85 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 639,288.19 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 122.1340 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 320 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 13.582 | collisions | |
|--|--------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.6791 | approx one collision every 1.47 y | vears |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Common Kestrel South Cluster Breeding Season SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|------|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 495 | 0 | 300 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 30 | 66 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.1864 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 15421.50 | 484.42 | 16842.31 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 8.92E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 4.95E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.471 | 0.015 | 0.514 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 4.20E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 2.54E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000007 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.246% | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 0.191% | | | | | |



| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 4.53 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 547,961.30 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 15.8074 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_{r}/t$ | 41 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance,turbines operational 85% of thetimeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 1.758 | collisions | |
|--|--------|--|--|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.0879 | approx one collision every 11.38 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 1,322 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 33 | 30 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 6961.09 | 484.42 | 9186.71 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 4.00E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.419 | 0.029 | 0.552 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 2.21E-05 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000022 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.806% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.735% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 15.48 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 547,961.30 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 53.97 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 142 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 6.002 | collision | ۱S | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.3001 | approx | one collision every 3.33 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|---|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 615 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 7710.75 | 678.19 | 9952.27 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.72E-05 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.420 | 0.037 | 0.543 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.31E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000009 | • | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.340% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.263% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 5.55 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 547,961.30 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 19.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 51 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 2.152 | collisions | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.1076 | approx o | ne collision every 9.29 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel South Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 495 | 0 | 2,237 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.19 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 30093.34 | 1647.03 | 35981.29 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 4.57E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 1.73E-05 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.444 | 0.024 | 0.531 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 2.03E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 9.18E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000011 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.409% | | | | |

| | | 1 |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.317% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 14.21 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 547,961.30 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 49.5533 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.38 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| N=Tr/t | 130 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 5.510 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.2755 | approx on | e collision every 3.63 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon North Cluster Breeding Season SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume $(T_w)^1$ recorded within each viewshed (T_wV) | 105 | 570 | 105 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 72 | 72 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 5042.56 | 17979.93 | 13468.87 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 5.78E-06 | 8.81E-06 | 2.17E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.138 | 0.493 | 0.369 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 7.99E-07 | 4.34E-06 | 7.99E-07 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000006 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.302% | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 0.234% | | | | | |



| I | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 5.56 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h(footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 653,817.46 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 16.6071 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 47 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 2.116 | collisions |


| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| 98.00% | 0.0423 | approx one collision every | 23.63 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 105 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 35 | 36 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 2521.28 | 8740.24 | 6734.43 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.16E-05 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.140 | 0.486 | 0.374 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.62E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.082% | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.064% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.35 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^{r^2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 653,817.46 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.02 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 11 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.512 | collisio | ons | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0102 | approx | x one collision every 97.65 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon North Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 210 | 570 | 105 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.07 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 11135.65 | 37458.19 | 27686.01 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 5.24E-06 | 4.23E-06 | 1.05E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)¹</i> | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.146 | 0.491 | 0.363 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 7.65E-07 | 2.08E-06 | 3.82E-07 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000003 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.164% | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.127% | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 5.70 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 653,817.46 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 17.0043 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 48 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 2.167 | collisions | |
|--|--------|--|--|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0433 | approx one collision every 23.08 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.45m, wingspan 1.1m, flight speed= 14.0m/sec

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Breeding Season SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|---|---|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 165 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 30 | 66 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.1864 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 15421.50 | 484.42 | 16842.31 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 2.72E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.471 | 0.015 | 0.514 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.40E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | • | 0.000001 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | • | • |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.051% | | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 0.040% | | | | | | |



| l | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.94 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 560,414.97 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 3.3554 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 9 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance,turbines operational 97% of thetimeN*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.428 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|--------|-------|
| 98.00% | 0.0086 | approx one collision every | 116.95 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 106 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 33 | 30 | 36 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 6961.09 | 484.42 | 9186.71 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.21E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)¹</i> | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.419 | 0.029 | 0.552 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.77E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.065% | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.059% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.24 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 560,414.97 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.43 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 13 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 97% of the time N*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.564 | collisions | 5 |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0113 | approx o | one collision every 88.66 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 30 | 0 | 90 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 7710.75 | 678.19 | 9952.27 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.08E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 2.51E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)¹</i> | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.420 | 0.037 | 0.543 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 4.54E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 1.36E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | birds secor | nds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.066% | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.051% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.08 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 560,414.97 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 3.86 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 11 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 97% of the time N*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.492 | collisions | S |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0098 | approx | one collision every 101.60 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 30 | 0 | 361 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.19 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 30093.34 | 1647.03 | 35981.29 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 2.77E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 2.79E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.444 | 0.024 | 0.531 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.23E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 1.48E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.059% | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.045% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 2.03 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^{r^2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 560,414.97 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 7.2532 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.35 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 21 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.053 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 97% of the time N*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.924 | collisior | ns |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0185 | approx | cone collision every 54.10 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

European Golden Plover North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 84 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 3571.81 | 10738.01 | 7482.70 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.12E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.164 | 0.493 | 0.343 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.07E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000001 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | - | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.054% | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.050% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.42 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 631,363.13 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.09 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| t=(d+L)/s | 0.27 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 15 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.594 | collision | ٦S |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0119 | approx | one collision every 84.15 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

European Golden Plover North Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 84 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.07 | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 11135.65 | 37458.19 | 27686.01 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 8.43E-07 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.146 | 0.491 | 0.363 | | | | |
| Weighted T_wV rate (T_wV rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.06E-07 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000000 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.016% | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.012% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.67 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 631,363.13 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 1.9328 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.27 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 7 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.281 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0056 | approx or | ne collision every 178.23 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

European Golden Plover South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 141 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 33 | 30 | 36 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 6961.09 | 484.42 | 9186.71 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 4.26E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.419 | 0.029 | 0.552 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 2.35E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | - | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.086% | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.078% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 2.24 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 541,168.39 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 7.72 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.27 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 29 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 1.120 | collision | ns |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0224 | approx | one collision every 44.64 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)



European Golden Plover South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 1035 | 2,400 | 0 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 7710.75 | 678.19 | 9952.27 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* V$ | 3.73E-05 | 9.83E-04 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.420 | 0.037 | 0.543 | | | | |
| Weighted T_wV rate (T_wV rate * weight) | 1.57E-05 | 3.63E-05 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000052 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.898% | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 1.471% | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 42.07 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 541,168.39 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 144.91 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.27 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 546 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 21.033 | collisions |
|--|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | |
| 98.00% | 0.4207 | approx one collision every 2.38 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.28m, wingspan 0.72m, flight speed= 17.5m/sec

European Golden Plover South Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 1035 | 2,400 | 141 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.19 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 30093.34 | 1647.03 | 35981.29 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 9.55E-06 | 4.05E-04 | 1.09E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.444 | 0.024 | 0.531 | | | | | |
| Weighted T_wV rate (T_wV rate * weight) | 4.25E-06 | 9.84E-06 | 5.78E-07 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000015 | | birds secor | ids per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.535% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.415% | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 23.06 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (Vr) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 541,168.39 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 79.4413 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.27 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 299 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 11.530 | collisions |
|--|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | |
| 98.00% | 0.2306 | approx one collision every 4.34 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.28m, wingspan 0.72m, flight speed= 17.5m/sec

Northern Lapwing North Cluster Non-Breeding 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 93 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 3571.81 | 10738.01 | 7482.70 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.45E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.164 | 0.493 | 0.343 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.19E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000001 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.060% | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.055% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.57 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 634,004.81 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.55 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.39 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 12 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.496 | collisions | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0099 | approx o | ne collision every 100.82 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Northern Lapwing North Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 93 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.07 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 11135.65 | 37458.19 | 27686.01 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.33E-07 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.146 | 0.491 | 0.363 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.39E-07 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000000 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.017% | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.013% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.74 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 634,004.81 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 2.1489 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.39 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 6 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.234 | collisions | 5 |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0047 | approx o | one collision every 213.52 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Northern Lapwing South Cluster Non-Breeding 2021/22 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 2,250 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.18644 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 7710.75 | 678.19 | 9952.27 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 6.28E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.420 | 0.037 | 0.543 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.41E-05 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000034 | | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.243% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.964% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 27.56 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 543,432.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 95.32 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.39 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 244 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 10.387 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.2077 | approx o | ne collision every 4.81 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.30m, wingspan 0.84m, flight speed= 12.3m/sec

Northern Lapwing South Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 2,250 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 214.19 | 16.15 | 255.19 | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 30093.34 | 1647.03 | 35981.29 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.74E-05 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.444 | 0.024 | 0.531 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.23E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000009 | | birds secon | ids per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.337% | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.261% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 14.51 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 565,616,700 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 543,432.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 50.1932 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.39 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 129 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.050 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|----------------------------|-------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 5.470 | collision | IS | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.1094 | approx | one collision every 9.14 y | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.30m, wingspan 0.84m, flight speed= 12.3m/sec

Common Snipe North Cluster Breeding Season SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 5610 | 600 | 0 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 72 | 72 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 5042.56 | 17979.93 | 13468.87 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 3.09E-04 | 9.27E-06 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.138 | 0.493 | 0.369 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 4.27E-05 | 4.57E-06 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000047 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 2.405% | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 2.193% | | | | | |



| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,700 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 59.22 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 628,721.44 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 169.9617 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.30 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 571 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 21.621 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| 98.00% | 0.4324 | approx one collision every | 2.31 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec

Common Snipe North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 31 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 3571.81 | 10738.01 | 7482.70 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.15E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.164 | 0.493 | 0.343 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.95E-07 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000000 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | - |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.020% | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.022% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.64 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 628,721.44 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 1.83 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| t=(d+L)/s | 0.30 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 6 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.232 | collisions | S |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0046 | approx o | one collision every 215.16 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec



Common Snipe North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2020/21 SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 15 | 0 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 35 | 36 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.0676 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 2521.28 | 8740.24 | 6734.43 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 4.77E-07 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.140 | 0.486 | 0.374 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 2.32E-07 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000000 | | birds secon | nds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.012% | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.011% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.31 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 628,721.44 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 0.88 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.30 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 3 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.112 | collisions | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0022 | approx o | ne collision every 445.88 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec



Common Snipe North Cluster Annual SG 155

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 5610 | 615 | 31 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (v) ¹ | 70.04 | 249.72 | 187.07 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 11135.65 | 37458.19 | 27686.01 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.40E-04 | 4.56E-06 | 3.11E-07 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.146 | 0.491 | 0.363 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 2.04E-05 | 2.24E-06 | 1.13E-07 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | - | 0.000023 | | birds secon | ids per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.159% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 1.057% | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 58.76 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 788,638,295 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 628,721.44 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 168.6437 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.30 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 567 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.045 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 21.454 | collisions | |
|--|--------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.4291 | approx one collision every 2.33 year | ars |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec

APPENDIX 04

CRM Calculations Vestas 162

Common Kestrel North Cluster Breeding Season Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 2160 | 900 | 2,205 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 72 | 72 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 4762.80 | 15336.50 | 11840.83 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.26E-04 | 1.63E-05 | 5.17E-05 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.149 | 0.480 | 0.371 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.88E-05 | 7.83E-06 | 1.92E-05 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000046 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 2.344% | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 1.898% | | | | | |



| I | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 45.12 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 669,477.42 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 131.1384 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 359 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 14.697 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| 95.00% | 0.7349 | approx one collision every | 1.36 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Common Kestrel North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 112 | 640 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 3373.65 | 9159.30 | 6578.24 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 3.40E-06 | 2.70E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)¹</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.177 | 0.479 | 0.344 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 1.63E-06 | 9.30E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000011 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | - | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.559% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity br∆-1 in wind | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| farm at rotor height (z) | 0.533% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 11.23 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (Vr) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 669,477.42 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 32.64 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 89 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 3.659 | collisions | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.1829 | approx one collision every | 5.47 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Common Kestrel Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 45 | 0 | 150 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 35 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 2381.40 | 7455.25 | 5920.42 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 5.25E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 7.04E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)¹</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.151 | 0.473 | 0.376 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 7.93E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 2.64E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000003 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.176% | | | | | | |

| | | 1 |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.143% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 3.00 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (Vr) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 669,477.42 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 8.73 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 24 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.978 | collisions | |
|--|--------|--|--|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.0489 | approx one collision every 20.45 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Common Kestrel North Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 2205 | 1,012 | 2,995 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (v) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.46 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 10517.85 | 31951.05 | 24339.49 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 5.82E-05 | 8.80E-06 | 3.42E-05 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.157 | 0.478 | 0.364 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 9.17E-06 | 4.21E-06 | 1.25E-05 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000026 | | birds secor | nds per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.322% | | | | | |

| Maan 0/ activity by 0.4 in wind | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| farm at rotor height (z) | 1.071% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 48.01 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 669,477.42 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 139.5423 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 382 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 15.639 | collisions | |
|--|--------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.7820 | approx one collision every 1.28 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Common Kestrel South Cluster Breeding Season Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 495 | 0 | 300 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 30 | 66 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.3118 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 15073.79 | 495.21 | 16190.58 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 9.12E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 5.15E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.475 | 0.016 | 0.510 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 4.33E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 2.62E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000007 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.255% | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 0.207% | | | | | |


| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 4.92 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 573,837.78 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 17.0692 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 47 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 1.913 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| 95.00% | 0.0957 | approx one collision every | 10.45 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 Vestas

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 1,322 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 33 | 30 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 6804.14 | 495.21 | 8831.23 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 4.16E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.422 | 0.031 | 0.547 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 2.28E-05 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000023 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.836% | | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.797% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 16.79 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 573,837.78 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 58.28 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 160 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 6.532 | collisions | ; | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.3266 | approx o | one collision every 3.06 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 615 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 7536.90 | 693.29 | 9567.16 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.79E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.423 | 0.039 | 0.538 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.60E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000010 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.353% | | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.286% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 6.02 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 573,837.78 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 20.89 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 57 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 2.341 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.1170 | approx on | ne collision every 8.54 years | i |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Common Kestrel South Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 495 | 0 | 2,237 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 29414.83 | 1683.71 | 34588.97 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 4.67E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 1.80E-05 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.448 | 0.026 | 0.527 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 2.09E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 9.46E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | - | 0.000012 | | | birds secor | ids per ha/hour | - | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.424% | | | | | | |

| l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.344% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 15.41 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.34 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 573,837.78 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 53.5003 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.7 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 146 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 5.996 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 95.00% | 0.2998 | approx o | ne collision every 3.34 years | 3 |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon North Cluster Breeding Season Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume $(T_w)^1$ recorded within each viewshed (T_wV) | 105 | 570 | 105 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 72 | 72 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 4762.80 | 15336.50 | 11840.83 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 6.12E-06 | 1.03E-05 | 2.46E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.149 | 0.480 | 0.371 | | | | |
| Weighted T_wV rate (T_wV rate * weight) | 9.13E-07 | 4.96E-06 | 9.13E-07 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000007 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.347% | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 0.281% | | | | |



| I | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 6.68 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h(footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 685,348.65 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 19.8885 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 59 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 2.567 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| 98.00% | 0.0513 | approx one collision every | 19.47 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 105 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 35 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 2381.40 | 7455.25 | 5920.42 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.22E-05 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)¹</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.151 | 0.473 | 0.376 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.85E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | 0.000002 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.095% | | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.077% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.62 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^{r^2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 685,348.65 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.81 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 14 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.621 | collisions | S |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0124 | approx | one collision every 80.51 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon North Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 210 | 570 | 105 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (v) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.46 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 10517.85 | 31951.05 | 24339.49 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 5.55E-06 | 4.96E-06 | 1.20E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.157 | 0.478 | 0.364 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 8.73E-07 | 2.37E-06 | 4.37E-07 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | 0.000004 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.188% | | | | | | |

| | | 1 |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.153% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 6.84 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 685,348.65 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 20.3514 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 60 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 2.627 | collisions | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0525 | approx one collision every | 19.03 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m) ⁵Assumes bird length=0.45m, wingspan 1.1m, flight speed= 14.0m/sec



Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Breeding Season Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|------|-------------|----------------|------|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 165 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 30 | 66 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.3118 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 15073.79 | 495.21 | 16190.58 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 2.83E-06 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.475 | 0.016 | 0.510 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.44E-06 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000001 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.053% | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 0.043% | | | | |



| l | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,377 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.02 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 587,441.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 3.6267 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 11 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance,turbines operational 97% of thetimeN*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.468 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|--------|-------|
| 98.00% | 0.0094 | approx one collision every | 106.80 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 106 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 33 | 30 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 6804.14 | 495.21 | 8831.23 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.33E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.422 | 0.031 | 0.547 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.83E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | 0.000002 | | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.067% | | | | | | |

| | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.064% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.35 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 587,441.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.78 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 14 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 97% of the time N*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.618 | collisions | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0124 | approx o | ne collision every 80.96 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 30 | 0 | 90 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 7536.90 | 693.29 | 9567.16 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.11E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 2.61E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.423 | 0.039 | 0.538 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 4.68E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 1.40E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.069% | | | | | |

| l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.056% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.17 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^{r^2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 587,441.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.17 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 12 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 97% of the time N*p(collision)*0.97 | 0.539 | collision | ٦S |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0108 | approx | one collision every 92.83 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

Peregrine Falcon South Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 30 | 0 | 361 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 29414.83 | 1683.71 | 34588.97 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 2.83E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 2.90E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.448 | 0.026 | 0.527 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.27E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 1.53E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | - | 0.000002 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | - | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.061% | | | | | | |

| l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | , |
|--|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.049% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 2.21 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.45 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 587,441.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 7.8384 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 14 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.34 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 23 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.052 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 97% of the time N*p(collision)*0.97 | 1.012 | collisions | \$ |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0202 | approx o | one collision every 49.41 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)



European Golden Plover North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 84 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 3373.65 | 9159.30 | 6578.24 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.55E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.177 | 0.479 | 0.344 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.22E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.000001 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.062% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.060% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.70 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 660,820.38 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 4.89 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.25 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 19 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.043 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.698 | collision | IS | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0140 | approx | one collision every 71.58 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)



European Golden Plover North Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 84 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.46 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 10517.85 | 31951.05 | 24339.49 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.59E-07 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.157 | 0.478 | 0.364 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.49E-07 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000000 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.018% | | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.014% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.81 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 660,820.38 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 2.3098 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.25 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 9 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.043 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.330 | collisions | 5 |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0066 | approx o | one collision every 151.41 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.28m, wingspan 0.7m, flight speed= 18m/sec

European Golden Plover South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 141 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 33 | 30 | 36 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 6804.14 | 495.21 | 8831.23 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 4.44E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.422 | 0.031 | 0.547 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 2.43E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000002 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.089% | | | | | |

| | | 1 1 |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.085% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 2.43 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 566,417.47 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 8.33 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.25 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| N=T _r /t | 33 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.043 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 1.191 | collisions | ; |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0238 | approx o | one collision every 41.99 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.28m, wingspan 0.72m, flight speed= 17.5m/sec



European Golden Plover South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2021/22 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 1035 | 2,400 | 0 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 7536.90 | 693.29 | 9567.16 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 3.81E-05 | 9.62E-04 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.423 | 0.039 | 0.538 | | | | | |
| Weighted T_wV rate (T_wV rate * weight) | 1.62E-05 | 3.75E-05 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000054 | | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.969% | | | | | |

| | | , |
|---|-------------|---|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 1.595% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 45.62 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 566,417.47 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 156.30 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.25 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| N=Tr/t | 614 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.043 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 22.347 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.4469 | approx on | e collision every 2.24 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.28m, wingspan 0.72m, flight speed= 17.5m/sec

European Golden Plover South Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 1035 | 2,400 | 141 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 29414.83 | 1683.71 | 34588.97 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 9.77E-06 | 3.96E-04 | 1.13E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.448 | 0.026 | 0.527 | | | | | |
| Weighted T_wV rate (T_wV rate * weight) | 4.38E-06 | 1.01E-05 | 5.96E-07 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000015 | | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.556% | | | | | |

| | | , |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.450% | |
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 25.02 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.28 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 566,417.47 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 85.7226 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 18 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.25 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| N=Tr/t | 337 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.043 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 12.256 | collision | IS | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.2451 | approx | one collision every 4.08 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.28m, wingspan 0.72m, flight speed= 17.5m/sec

Northern Lapwing North Cluster Non-Breeding 2017/18 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 93 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.456 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 3373.65 | 9159.30 | 6578.24 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.93E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.177 | 0.479 | 0.344 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.35E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.000001 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.069% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.066% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.89 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 663,706.06 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 5.43 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 15 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.592 | collision | IS |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0118 | approx | one collision every 84.45 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Northern Lapwing North Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | /iewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 93 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 66.15 | 213.01 | 164.46 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 10517.85 | 31951.05 | 24339.49 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.06E-06 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.157 | 0.478 | 0.364 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.87E-07 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000000 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.020% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.016% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.89 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 663,706.06 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 2.5685 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 7 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.280 | collisions | \$ |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0056 | approx o | one collision every 178.63 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.34m, wingspan 0.8m, flight speed= 12.7m/sec

Northern Lapwing South Cluster Non-Breeding 2021/22 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 2,250 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 7536.90 | 693.29 | 9567.16 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 6.53E-05 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.423 | 0.039 | 0.538 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.51E-05 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000035 | | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.290% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 1.045% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 29.88 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 568,890.91 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 102.83 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 275 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 11.207 | collisions | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.2241 | approx on | ne collision every 4.46 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.30m, wingspan 0.84m, flight speed= 12.3m/sec



Northern Lapwing South Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | liewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|-----------------|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 2,250 | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31 | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 29414.83 | 1683.71 | 34588.97 | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.81E-05 | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.448 | 0.026 | 0.527 | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.51E-06 | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | - | 0.000010 | | | birds secon | ids per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.350% | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.283% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 15.74 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.3 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 568,890.91 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 54.1717 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 12.3 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 145 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.048 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 5.904 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.1181 | approx o | ne collision every 8.47 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.30m, wingspan 0.84m, flight speed= 12.3m/sec



Common Snipe North Cluster Breeding Season Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 5610 | 600 | 0 | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 72 | 72 | 72 | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 82.35 | 325.82 | 171.6626 | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 5929.45 | 23459.30 | 12359.71 | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 2.63E-04 | 7.10E-06 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.142 | 0.562 | 0.296 | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 3.73E-05 | 3.99E-06 | 0.00E+00 | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000041 | | birds secon | ds per ha/hour | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 2.115% | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | | | 1.713% | | | | |



| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,700 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 46.26 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: V _w =A*h (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r = N^* \pi^* r^2^* (d+L)$ footnote 4 | 657,934.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V w)$ | 132.1430 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor <i>(t)</i> | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.29 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 464 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.042 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the timeN*p(collision)*0.85 | 16.635 | collisions |



| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| 98.00% | 0.3327 | approx one collision every | 3.01 | years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

⁴ N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec

Common Snipe North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2017/18 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 31 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 51 | 43 | 40 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 82.35 | 325.82 | 171.66259 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 4200.03 | 14010.41 | 6866.50 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.25E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.167 | 0.559 | 0.274 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.43E-07 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.000000 | | | birds secor | nds per ha/hour | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.018% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.017% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.48 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 657,934.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 1.37 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (t) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/</i> s | 0.29 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 5 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.042 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.172 | collisions | \$ |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0034 | approx o | one collision every 290.33 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec



Common Snipe North Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2020/21 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 15 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 35 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 82.35 | 325.82 | 171.66259 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 2964.73 | 11403.82 | 6179.85 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 3.65E-07 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted T _w V rate) ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.144 | 0.555 | 0.301 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 2.03E-07 | 0.00E+00 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.000000 | | | | birds secor | nds per ha/hour | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.010% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.008% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,860 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.24 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^{r^2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 657,934.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 0.69 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.29 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 2 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.042 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.086 | collision | s |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0017 | approx | one collision every 578.42 years |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec



Common Snipe North Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | ∕iewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 5610 | 615 | 31 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 159 | 150 | 148 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed $(v)^1$ | 82.35 | 325.82 | 171.66 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (<i>e*v</i>) | 13094.21 | 48873.53 | 25406.06 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 1.19E-04 | 3.50E-06 | 3.39E-07 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate (weighted <i>T_wV rate</i>) ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.150 | 0.559 | 0.291 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 1.78E-05 | 1.96E-06 | 9.86E-08 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | | | 0.000020 | | | birds secor | ids per ha/hour | • | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 1.018% | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.825% | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 5,560 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 45.84 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 829,150,668 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: <i>V_r=N*π*r²*(d+L)</i> footnote 4 | 657,934.70 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 130.9610 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.29 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 460 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.042 | |
| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | |

| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 16.486 | collisions | |
|--|--------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.3297 | approx one collision every 3.03 years | |

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec

Common Snipe South Cluster Non-Breeding Season 2020/21 Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | Viewsheds | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 105 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 36 | 42 | 39 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31184 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 7536.90 | 693.29 | 9567.16 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.05E-06 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.423 | 0.039 | 0.538 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.64E-06 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.00002 | | | | birds secor | ds per ha/hour | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | | | 0.060% | | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.049% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 2,107 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 1.03 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^{2*}(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 563,944.03 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 3.50 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.29 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 12 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.042 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | | |
|--|--------|---------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.441 | collisions | | |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0088 | approx one co | ollision every 113.33 | years |

¹ The survey risk volume was derived from the windfarm polygon including a precautionary 500m buffer around the turbine rotors.

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec



Common Snipe South Cluster Annual Vestas 162

| | Viewsheds | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 4 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| STAGE 1: Estimation of rotor transits | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.1: Seconds occupancy of the survey risk volume (T _w) ¹ recorded within each viewshed (T _w V) | 0 | 0 | 105 | | | | | | |
| Step 1.2: Unweighted occupancy rate each viewshed (T _w Vrate) | | | | | | | | | |
| Hours of survey effort (e) | 141 | 102 | 141 | | | | | | |
| Windfarm area (ha) visible within viewshed (<i>v</i>) ¹ | 209.36 | 16.51 | 245.31 | | | | | | |
| Observation effort (e*v) | 29414.83 | 1683.71 | 34588.97 | | | | | | |
| $T_w V$ rate= $T_w V/e^* v$ | 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 8.43E-07 | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1.3: Weighted occupancy rate <i>(weighted</i> <i>T_wV rate)</i> ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight: proportion of total survey effort made at the VP | 0.448 | 0.026 | 0.527 | | | | | | |
| Weighted T _w V rate (<i>T_wV</i> rate * weight) | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 4.44E-07 | | | | | | |
| Total weighted occupancy rate | 0.00000 | | birds seconds per ha/hour | | | | | | |
| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at risk height | 0.016% | | | | | | | | |

| Mean % activity hr^-1 in wind farm at rotor height (z) | 0.013% | |
|--|-------------|----------------|
| Step 1.4: Total occupancy of risk volume during surveys (T _w) | | |
| Hours potentially active: breeding season (a) (footnote 2) | 4,483 | hours |
| Tw=z*a | 0.59 | hours |
| Step 1.6: Flight risk volume (V _w) | | |
| Risk volume: <i>V_w=A*h</i> (footnote 3) | 595,111,814 | m ³ |
| Step 1.7: Volume swept by windfarm rotors (V _r) | | |
| Bird length (L) | 0.26 | m |
| Rotor-swept volume: $V_r=N^*\pi^*r^2^*(d+L)$ footnote 4 | 563,944.03 | m ³ |
| Step 1.8: Bird occupancy of rotor-swept volume (Tr) | | |
| $T_r = T_w^* (V_r / V_w)$ | 2.0207 | seconds |
| Step 1.9: Time taken to transit rotor (<i>t</i>) | | |
| Flight speed (s) | 16 | m/sec |
| <i>t=(d+L)/s</i> | 0.29 | seconds |
| Step 1.10: Number of rotor transits (N) | | |
| $N=T_r/t$ | 7 | rotor transits |
| STAGE 2: Probability of Collision for a bird flying through rotors (<i>p</i> (collision)) from SNH spreadsheet ⁵ | 0.042 | |

| STAGE 3: Predicted mortality (birds per year) | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Step 3.1: With no avoidance, turbines operational 85% of the time N*p(collision)*0.85 | 0.254 | collisions | 5 |
| Step 3.2: Adjusted using a range of avoidance rates: | | | |
| 98.00% | 0.0051 | approx o | one collision every 196.56 years |

¹ The survey risk volume was derived from the windfarm polygon including a precautionary 500m buffer around the turbine rotors.

² The total number of daylight hours during the period

³ A= size of windfarm polygon(ha) h= rotor diameter (m)

 4 N= number of turbines, r= rotor radius (m), d= max depth of rotors (m)

⁵Assumes bird length=0.26m, wingspan 0.455m, flight speed= 16.0m/sec

EUROPEAN OFFICES

United Kingdom

AYLESBURY T: +44 (0)1844 337380

BELFAST belfast@slrconsulting.com

BRADFORD-ON-AVON T: +44 (0)1225 309400

BRISTOL T: +44 (0)117 906 4280

CARDIFF T: +44 (0)29 2049 1010

CHELMSFORD T: +44 (0)1245 392170

EDINBURGH T: +44 (0)131 335 6830

EXETER T: + 44 (0)1392 490152

GLASGOW glasgow@slrconsulting.com

GUILDFORD guildford@slrconsulting.com LONDON T: +44 (0)203 805 6418

MAIDSTONE T: +44 (0)1622 609242

MANCHESTER (Denton) T: +44 (0)161 549 8410

MANCHESTER (Media City) T: +44 (0)161 872 7564

NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE T: +44 (0)191 261 1966

NOTTINGHAM T: +44 (0)115 964 7280

SHEFFIELD T: +44 (0)114 245 5153

SHREWSBURY T: +44 (0)1743 23 9250

STIRLING T: +44 (0)1786 239900

WORCESTER T: +44 (0)1905 751310

Ireland

France

DUBLIN T: + 353 (0)1 296 4667

France

GRENOBLE T: +33 (0)6 23 37 14 14



Making Sustainability Happen